

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/829,071	04/10/2001	Raizo Kuge	H01-4730	7421	
466	7590 11/06/2002				
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		PR	HARMON, CHI	HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		91				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/829,071	KUGE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R Harmon	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	April 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/829,071 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "or the proximity thereof" (claim 1, line 15, claim 11, lines 15-16) renders the claim indefinite.

In claim 4, the phrase "in two rows to the left and the right" (lines 6-7) is unclear and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Broenstrup (US 6,425,847).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,071

Art Unit: 3721

Broenstrup discloses a method of producing a gusseted bag comprising separating and transferring flat films 1 and 3, inserting side films 14 between opposing films 1 and 3 transversely to the feed direction, sealing flat films 1, 3 and side films 14 at welder 29 to form strip film, forming an open surface on side film 14 by tucking at a crease and folding corners 17 at the end of side film 14 in an inward direction, and cutting film by cutter 33; see figures 1a and 1b. V-shaped bottom film 21' is further sealed to flat films 1 and 3. Strip film is cut along cutting line 31 forming individual bags adjacent to one another.

Side films 14 are in the shape of two V-shaped films opposing one another by folding ends 10 and 12 to a center-line; see figure 1a. The films form "boat shapes" with convex edges 17'.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broenstrup (US 6,425,847).

Broenstrup does not directly disclose crushing a tubular film in order to provide for side films, however the examiner takes **OFFICIAL NOTICE** that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as a

Application/Control Number: 09/829,071

Art Unit: 3721

matter of design choice. Applicant has not disclosed that crushing a tubular film provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with folding a singular web onto itself because it provides the same structure for the side gussets and furthermore would be separated along the center-line by cutter 33.

7. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broenstrup (US 6,425,847) in view of Sullivan (US 4,620,320).

Broenstrup does not directly disclose attaching a zipper, however Sullivan teaches manufacturing gusseted zipper closure bags by attaching zippers 14 extending in a direction parallel with film 11.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include attaching a zipper as taught by Sullivan in the invention of Broenstrup in order to provide for a closure device.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/829,071

Art Unit: 3721

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ch October 30, 2002

Stephen F. Gerrity
Primary Examiner

Page 5